THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT (HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MEGHALAYA, MANIPUR, TRIPURA MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH) ITANAGAR PERMANENT BENCH NAHARLAGUN

Appeal from Writ Petition (Civil) lope No. O. 1. (AP) 2018

Shi Praveen Taying
-VersusState of AP & 3 ors

Appellant Petitioner

Respondent Opposite Party

Petitioner Mr. J. Hussain
11 T. R. Singh Counsel for the Appellant

Counsel for the Respondent GA Opposite Party

Noting by Officer or Advoc	caté	Serial No.	Date	reports,orders or with signature
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)

- - LI VI .

Shri Praveen Tayang S/o Shri Bramtingso Tayang village –Tafragam, P.O – Tezu, Dist – Lohit, Arunachal Pradesh

Versus

- 1. The state of Arunachal Pradesh represented by the Commissioner, Land Management, Govt. of A.P, Itanagar.
- 2. The Deputy Commissioner, Lohit District, Tezu, Arunachal Pradesh.
- 3. M/s Abir Constructions Private Limited, Tourist lodge, Rock land, P.O & P.S – Tezu, Lohit District, Arunachal Pradesh.

4. M/s Athena Demwa Power Limited, represented by Manager, K. Vijay Kumar, P.S. Tazu, P.S. Tezu, Lohit District, Arunachal Pradesh.

..... Respondents

WP(C) 01 (AP)/2011

BEFORE THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE I. A. ANSARI

04-01-2011

Heard Mr. J. Hussain, learned counsel for the petitioner and Ms. G. Deka, learned Addl. Senior Government Advocate, Arunachal Pradesh, appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2.

By filing this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks issuance of an appropriate Writ or Writs directing the respondents to enhance rent as per Government Notification, dated 18.9.2008, which forms the subject matter of this writ petition, with retrospective effect.

The grievances of the petitioner, in the view of this Court, can be examined by the State respondents and the action, appropriate in law, may be taken thereafter.

Considering, therefore, the matter in its entirety and in the interest of justice, it is hereby directed that the State respondents, particularly, respondent No.2, namely, Deputy Commissioner, Lohit District, Tezu, shall examine the grievances of the petitioner and, then, pass appropriate order or orders thereon. The whole exercise, so directed,



shall be completed within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order by the respondent No.2.

The petitioner may furnish to the respondent No.2 a certified copy of this order along with a copy of the writ petition and annexures thereto.

Whatever decision(s) is/are taken by the respondent No.2, on examination of the matter, as directed hereinbefore, the same shall communicated, in writing, to the petitioner concerned. If the petitioner feels aggrieved by the decision(s), which may be arrived at by the respondent No.2 or by any other respondents, or by the order(s), which may be passed by the respondent No.2 or by any other respondents, the petitioner shall remain at liberty to take recourse to such provision(s) of law as may be permissible.

The writ petition shall stand disposed of in terms of the above observations and directions.

No order as to costs.

JUDGE